


APR. 23. 2003 4:53PM

OBLON, SPIVAK

NO. 422 P. 1



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1640 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314
USA

(703) 413-3000
(703) 413-2220 FACSIMILE

OBLONPAT@OBLON.COM

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW
AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION

WWW.OBLON.COM

FACSIMILE

PLEASE CALL US AT (703) 413-3000 IF THE MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE IS INCOMPLETE OR NOT LEGIBLE

TO	Rebecca L. Anderson	DATE	April 23, 2003
NAME	USPTO	FAX #	703-746-7444
COMPANY/FIRM		CONFIRM FAX:	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:	3		
FROM	Thomas W. Barnes, III	OUR REFERENCE	219214US0PCT
NAME	703-412-3525	Serial #	10/049,645
DIRECT PHONE #		YOUR REFERENCE	

MESSAGE

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error or are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our Expense. Thank You.

Received from < 703 413 2220 > at 4/23/03 4:54:33 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/049,645

Applicant(s)

MIYACHI ET AL.

Examiner

Rebecca L Anderson

Art Unit

1626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rebecca L Anderson.(3) Tom Barnes(2) Joe McKane(4) Vince Shier *ms*Date of Interview: 10/21/02Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: allIdentification of prior art discussed: EP 0 846 693 and 5 223 522 / WO 97132863 ^{US}Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

Discussed amendment to duplicate claims to change to method claims within same scope as compounds. Also, Applicant will submit a 1.192 Declaration showing comparison between EP 0 846 693 and applicant's compounds (examples 38 and 26 suggested). Examiner will consider Declaration and amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required